

Policy options to deal with high-cost medicines – Survey with European policy-makers

Nina Zimmermann¹, Sabine Vogler¹, Hanne Bak Pedersen²

Objective

To survey whether and which **pricing and reimbursement policy options** European countries have been implemented for **new premium-priced medicines**.

Methodology:

- **Cross-country survey** with **policy-makers responsible for pharmaceutical pricing and reimbursement** in 42 countries (all EU Member States, 9 further European countries and 5 non-European countries) for pricing and reimbursement policies in **out-patient and in-patient sectors**.
- **Responses** were received from **27 European countries** and **Canada**, data as of February – March 2014

Scope: 'New / Innovative' medicines

Common definition is lacking.

From a public health perspective, the level of **innovativeness of a medicine** is primarily defined by the **benefits the medicine generates for patients**. These benefits can be in the therapeutic or clinical domain, the quality of life domain, but also in the socio-economic domain. Examples of benefits in the socio-economic domain include a medicine that would prevent (expensive) hospital admissions or that would enable patients to work.

(Source: Vienna WHO CC Glossary)

Scope: high-priced medicines

No clear international definition.

The **high price itself might not be the decisive criterion**, but also **the use / demand** for the product resulting in high costs for the treatment of the patient.

A broad definition of a new premium-priced medicine in this research context is one whose acquisition cost is greater than 10,000 Euro per patient for a yearly therapy for the public payer and which is replacing an existing medicine (whose costs public payers were already paying).

Results

Country specific definition on high-priced medicines?

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| No | 21 countries: BE, DK, CA, CH, ES, EE, EL, FI, HR, HU, LV, LU, MT, NL, NO, PL, RS, SI, SE, SK, UK |
| Development | AT: a definition on high-cost and specialized medicines was developed at time of the survey |
| Country-specific definition | AL, CZ, FR, IS, IT |

Pricing and reimbursement in the out-patient sector

Overall, the rules for pricing and reimbursement of new premium-priced medicines **do not differ** from the ones for the other medicines

Increased **use of HTA** and **pharmacoeconomic evaluations**

Frequent use of price-volume agreements, managed-entry agreements, risk-sharing schemes and **similar** was reported from some countries (e.g. ES, FR, PL, HU; SK – 'conditional categorization')

Pricing and reimbursement in the in-patient sector

In principle, **no specific P + R procedures** for premium-based medicines in many countries, but specific funding models, processes, schemes: e.g. DK, NO

Funding outside the DRG system: individual product reimbursement (AT, BE, EE, FI, FR)

Special agreements between hospitals and social health insurance: costs for medicines used in hospitals are (partly) funded by social health insurance (FR, EL, NL – new arrangement since 2013, SI; NO – TNF and MS medicines & (since 2014) some oncology medicines are funded by hospitals

Special programs: LV, PL

Special funds: Cancer Drug Fund (UK)

Horizon scanning was reported only from few countries (Canada, Italy, UK).

Reported challenges

- **Concerns about access and sustainability**, in the light of balancing the need to provide access to new high-cost medicines with given budgetary restraints,
- **Question about value-for-money** of the new medicines, **with limited data and evidence** available about the added value,
- **Issue on pricing**, on how to be able to reduce the price of these medicines, particularly given the frequent use of the external price referencing policy, and
- **Concerns about limited coordination between sectors** (out-patient / in-patient sector and different payers/jurisdictions)
- **New biological medicines**

Discussion and conclusion

Though European governments were concerned with the cost issue due to new medicines, **specific pricing and reimbursement policies have yet to be thought through in a systematic manner**. Prioritization processes will increasingly be required for the introduction of new medicines. **Lessons learned: Prioritization should incorporate the principles of collaboration and transparency:** Cooperation between countries in Europe and stakeholder dialogues could be further strengthened. This needs to involve better balancing of the value of innovation with equitable, affordable patient access.

¹ WHO Collaborating Centre for Pharmaceutical Pricing and Reimbursement Policies, Health Economics Department, Gesundheit Österreich GmbH (Austrian Public Health Institute), Stubenring 6, 1010 Vienna, Austria

² Health Technologies and Pharmaceuticals, Division of Health Systems and Public Health, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark

No conflict of interest

Research done by the WHO CC based on funding by the Austrian Ministry of Health and WHO Europe

Contact: Nina Zimmermann

Gesundheit Österreich GmbH, Stubenring 6, 1010 Vienna
Email: ppri@goeg.at; Tel: +43 1 515 61 132